



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Vaccine Mandates for Transplant Patients: Caring for Patients Versus Turning Them Away

Keren Ladin, PhD, MSc, Andrew M. Flescher, PhD, Peter P. Reese, MD MSCE

PII: S0272-6386(22)00517-0

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.01.421>

Reference: YAJKD 57654

To appear in: *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*

Received Date: 6 December 2021

Accepted Date: 30 January 2022

Please cite this article as: Ladin K, Flescher AM, Reese PP, Vaccine Mandates for Transplant Patients: Caring for Patients Versus Turning Them Away, *American Journal of Kidney Diseases* (2022), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1053/j.ajkd.2022.01.421>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2022 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the National Kidney Foundation, Inc.

Vaccine Mandates for Transplant Patients: Caring for Patients Versus Turning Them Away

Keren Ladin, PhD, MSc^{1,2}, Andrew M. Flescher, PhD^{3,4}, Peter P. Reese, MD MSCE^{5,6}

¹Research on Ethics, Aging, and Community Health (REACH Lab); Medford, MA

²Departments of Occupational Therapy and Community Health, Tufts University; Medford, MA

³Department of Family, Population, and Preventive Medicine, Core Faculty in Public Health, Stony Brook University

⁴Department of English, Stony Brook University

⁵Renal-Electrolyte and Hypertension Division, Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

⁶Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

Corresponding author:

Keren Ladin, PhD, MSc

Departments of Occupational Therapy and Community Health

Tufts University

574 Boston Avenue, Suite 216

Medford, MA 02155

Email: keren.ladin@tufts.edu

Key words: organ transplantation; disparities, COVID-19, vaccination, ethics, social justice, trauma-informed, health policy; equity; vaccine; transplant

Following aggressive Delta and Omicron waves of COVID, hospitals are grappling with setting vaccine mandates policies to protect patients amidst polarized public opinion. These issues have recently surfaced as many transplant programs remove unvaccinated patients from the waitlist or refuse to list them, making them ineligible for transplant.^{1,2} We conclude that vaccine mandates are ethical. Yet, concern for possibly increasing health inequities should compel transplant centers to employ techniques such as a patient-centered trauma informed (PCTI) approach when implementing a vaccine requirement. Such techniques may offset mistrust and counter the misinformation that prevents potential recipients from accepting vaccines.

Imposing minimal conditions on transplant eligibility is well established. These conditions balance potential risks and benefits to patients, while ensuring that organs are allocated judiciously. For example, most transplant centers require that patients stop smoking and substance use, receive compulsory vaccinations, and complete appropriate cardiac and cancer screening. Appeals to the principle of autonomy in the name of “medical freedom”, as grounds for resisting a vaccine mandate, ignore precedent and call into question all evaluation criteria that seek to ensure successful outcomes. Moreover, transplanting unvaccinated patients enables harm by undermining the best medical interests of the candidate who rejects vaccination, unduly raising risk to immunocompromised patients, and endangering clinicians during routine transplant care. Yet, as COVID-19 vaccine mandates become politicized, we also acknowledge the problem that hospitals risk losing control of their narrative, inviting unintended consequences. These unintended consequences include exacerbating disparities among structurally marginalized groups, further fueling concerns about denial of treatment, judgement, and bias within health care.

In support of vaccine mandates in the transplant setting

COVID-19 vaccines protect transplant recipients from serious illness and death.³ Kidney transplantation involves severe depletion of T-cells because of induction treatment (typically with anti-thymocyte globulin) followed by lifelong immunosuppression that renders recipients susceptible to infectious complications.^{4,5} Unvaccinated kidney transplant recipients have a significantly higher risk of contracting severe COVID-19 because of immunosuppression, comorbidities, and frailty that accompany advanced kidney disease. Among kidney transplant recipients, the mortality rate with COVID-19 infection was reported to be as high as 25% early in the pandemic and more recent reports estimate a death rate of 16.9 – 21% among symptomatic kidney transplant recipients.^{6,7} When administered prior to transplant, vaccines offer important protection from severe COVID illness. Moreover, pre-transplant patients receiving in-center hemodialysis are likely to experience elevated rates of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality due to challenges of a congregate setting.⁸ Vaccination is expected to reduce risks of mortality and adverse outcomes in this population, .⁹

Such research bolsters numerous ethical arguments supporting vaccine mandates. First, vaccine mandates promote utility, as transplant recipients who are vaccinated are most likely to survive COVID-19, if infected. Second, the principle of beneficence requires that clinicians act to protect the patient and defend the well-being of others.¹⁰ Mandating vaccines for transplant recipients protects all stakeholders in the hospital and dialysis settings, not the least of which are recipients themselves. Third, mandating a medically necessary vaccine, in keeping with social justice, protects scarce resources and acknowledges that, in the context of a pandemic, individual actions have broad implications for risking the health of other vulnerable patients. These arguments hold true especially for pediatric candidates who should be protected, and may be unable to obtain vaccination over parental objections.

Critics of vaccine mandates assert that they violate individual liberties and patient autonomy. While autonomy is customarily associated with respect for persons and medical freedom, it also

importantly holds that we must “respect individual’s independent choices, *as long as the choices do not impose harm on others*.”¹¹ That is, a reasonable constraint on autonomy is that it does not come at the cost of others’ well-being, including through the preventable spread of COVID-19.

Will mandates impose more harm than good?

While objections to mandates based on autonomy fall short, hospital leaders must recognize the potential for unintended consequences. Without appropriate messaging, vaccine mandates may contribute to entrenched disparities in access to transplantation, if those with greater levels of vaccine skepticism are delisted at higher rates than other groups. For structurally marginalized groups who continue to experience discrimination, mistrust may extend to institutions such as transplant centers that issue vaccine mandates, exacerbating disparities in transplantation.

Second, without a uniform approach across transplant centers, well-informed and wealthier patients may simply travel to centers without mandates. This could disrupt care and disproportionately benefit persons with greater resources (e.g., time, money, literacy) who could align their vaccine preference with a center ready to treat them. These concerns echo those stemming from multiple listing which benefits patients able to meet residency and financial requirements at multiple centers.

Finally, transplantation is uniquely dependent upon public participation. If vaccine mandates inflame negative sentiments toward transplantation, organ donation may decline. This will diminish the transplant system’s ability to save lives. Souring public sentiment following a scandal involving transplant hospitals in Germany led to a precipitous decline in organ donation in 2010 which persists, underscoring the long-lasting harms of politicizing transplantation.¹²

What obligations do vaccine mandates impose on transplant centers to maintain equitable access to care?

Critics of vaccine mandates may raise equity concerns if they envision that patients refusing vaccines do so owing to misinformation about vaccine safety or distrust. Political affiliation also remains a robust predictor of vaccine status.¹³ Lack of trusted messengers has played a key role. Politicized messages of scientists and physicians have contributed to fear and misinformation, as have inconsistent messages from federal health agencies.

We propose a clear, national policy that supports vaccine mandates for transplant candidates and recipients using a patient-centered trauma-informed approach (PCTI).¹⁶ A PCTI approach acknowledges past trauma and its impact on patient interactions and treatment decision-making. PCTI builds upon trusted patient-clinician relationships and assures a level of autonomy and control for patients over their care, and seeks to prevent retraumatization in clinical situations. PCTI is possible even if vaccines are mandated, by allowing patients control over the timing and location of vaccination, and type of education (e.g. meeting with clinician, peer support, written and multimedia materials). Informing patients in terse letters that they were ineligible to receive kidney offers until vaccinated strays from PCTI care, which acknowledges that vaccination demands can alienate those persons whose suspicions about vaccination are grounded in experiences of oppression, disrespect, and mistrust.¹⁷ PCTI calls for compassion and service delivery that promotes safety, trustworthiness, transparency, peer support, collaboration, empowerment, and dignity by incorporating awareness about trauma into policies and messaging.¹⁸ Although perhaps daunting, centers can incorporate PCTI prospectively with all new patients, and should communicate personally with waitlisted patients during their annual visit. If reflective of the U.S. population, less than one-third may express vaccine hesitancy requiring greater outreach.

Sustained investment in effective messaging, transparency, time to process, and workforce development are critical to successfully vaccinating transplant patients. For example, although in the early phases of the pandemic vaccine uptake among persons identifying as Black or Latinx had lagged behind White Americans (largely owing to structural inequalities),^{14,15} improved access, time, and outreach by trusted sources have narrowed disparities significantly. Some studies suggest that Black Americans are twice as likely to trust a COVID information when care is race-concordant.¹⁹ We acknowledge that race-concordant care is often absent in the transplantation setting, where only 5.5% of transplant surgeons identified as Black in 2013.²⁰ Transplant centers should better engage with local communities and vaccine outreach efforts to mitigate structural racism and improve trust. The Black Doctors Covid-19 Consortium offers transplant programs a compelling example (<https://blackdoctorsconsortium.com/>). Transplant centers might also follow successful examples of Promotoras, lay health workers in the Hispanic community, whereby vaccinated transplant patients could be trained and enlisted to talk with hesitant patients. Many transplant patients identifying as people of color are leaders in the transplant community and effective ambassadors for complex public health issues such as living donation. Their experience should be sought after in addressing vaccine misgivings.

At a minimum, patients should have an opportunity to discuss their concerns with a trained and accessible clinician or peer before faced with consequences. Transplant programs must monitor the distribution of delisting patients across demographic groups and assess their success in promoting vaccination. This data should be publicly reported and used to revise counseling practices.

Finally, we underscore that COVID vaccine mandates – like other requirements for transplant readiness – should adapt to meet the evolving pandemic. Future scientific findings about the spread of COVID variants, for instance, might lead to reasonable calls to require new COVID

boosters as part of mandates. Alternatively, if new treatments for COVID are highly successful for transplant patients, COVID vaccine mandates might be relaxed.

Conclusions

Mitigating the risks of COVID to transplant patients requires vaccination, but it also requires a deep commitment from the transplant community to improve patient-centered care and diversity. Although transplant programs are justified in imposing vaccine mandates, unintended consequences underscore the collective responsibility that accompanies vaccine mandates.

Article Information

Support: Dr. Ladin gratefully acknowledges support from the Greenwall Foundation through their “Faculty Scholars Program” awards.

Financial Disclosure: Dr. Ladin currently serves as Chair of the OPTN and UNOS Ethics Committees. Prof. Flescher currently services as Vice-Chair of the OPTN and UNOS Ethics Committees. Dr. Reese declares that he has no relevant financial interests.

Disclaimer: The views expressed here are the authors’ own and do not represent the OPTN or UNOS.

Peer Review: Received December 6, 2021 in response to an invitation from the journal. Evaluated by 2 external peer reviewers, with direct editorial input from an Associate Editor and a Deputy Editor. Accepted in revised form January 30, 2022.

References

1. Kuczewski M, Wasson K, Hutchison PJ, Dilling DF. Putting ethics and clinical decision making before politics: requiring COVID-19 immunization for Solid Organ Transplantation (SOT) Candidates and their Support Team. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2022;41(1):17-19. DOI: 10.1016/j.healun.2021.10.001.
2. Parent B, Caplan A, Mehta SA. Ethical considerations regarding COVID-19 vaccination for transplant candidates and recipients. *Clin Transplant* 2021;35(10):e14421. DOI: 10.1111/ctr.14421.

3. Aslam S, Adler E, Mekeel K, Little SJ. Clinical effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccination in solid organ transplant recipients. *Transpl Infect Dis* 2021:e13705. DOI: 10.1111/tid.13705.
4. Wiseman AC. Immunosuppressive Medications. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2016;11(2):332-43. DOI: 10.2215/CJN.08570814.
5. Agrawal A, Ison MG, Danziger-Isakov L. Long-Term Infectious Complications of Kidney Transplantation. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2021. DOI: 10.2215/CJN.15971020.
6. Caillard S, Anglicheau D, Matignon M, et al. An initial report from the French SOT COVID Registry suggests high mortality due to COVID-19 in recipients of kidney transplants. *Kidney Int* 2020;98(6):1549-1558. DOI: 10.1016/j.kint.2020.08.005.
7. Goffin E, Candellier A, Vart P, et al. COVID-19-related mortality in kidney transplant and haemodialysis patients: a comparative, prospective registry-based study. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2021;36(11):2094-2105. DOI: 10.1093/ndt/gfab200.
8. Control CfD. COVID-19 Vaccine: What Public Health Jurisdictions and Dialysis Partners Need to Know. National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases, Centers for Disease Control. (<https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/planning/dialysis-partners-jurisdictions.html>).
9. Wilde B, Korth J, Jahn M, Kribben A. COVID-19 vaccination in patients receiving dialysis. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 2021;17(12):788-789. DOI: 10.1038/s41581-021-00499-z.
10. Beauchamp T, Childress J. *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, 4th Edition. New York: Oxford University Press, 1994.
11. OPTN EThics Committee. *Ethical Principles in the Allocation of Human Organs*. 2015. Accessed January 28, 2022. <https://optn.transplant.hrsa.gov/resources/ethics/ethical-principles-in-the-allocation-of-human-organs/>

12. Connolly K. Mass donor organ fraud shakes Germany. *The Guardian*. January 9, 2013. Accessed January 28, 2022. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2013/jan/09/mass-donor-organ-fraud-germany>
13. Agarwal R, Dugas M, Ramaprasad J, Luo J, Li G, Gao GG. Socioeconomic privilege and political ideology are associated with racial disparity in COVID-19 vaccination. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2021;118(33). DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2107873118.
14. Balasuriya L, Santilli A, Morone J, et al. COVID-19 Vaccine Acceptance and Access Among Black and Latinx Communities. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4(10):e2128575. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.28575.
15. Smith AC, Woerner J, Perera R, Haeny AM, Cox JM. An Investigation of Associations Between Race, Ethnicity, and Past Experiences of Discrimination with Medical Mistrust and COVID-19 Protective Strategies. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities* 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s40615-021-01080-x.
16. Marsac ML, Kassam-Adams N, Hildenbrand AK, et al. Implementing a Trauma-Informed Approach in Pediatric Health Care Networks. *JAMA Pediatr* 2016;170(1):70-7. DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.2206.
17. Knowles H, Anders C. Hospital system says it will deny transplants to the unvaccinated in 'almost all situations'. *The Washington Post*. October 6, 2021. Accessed January 28, 2022. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/health/2021/10/05/uchealth-transplant-unvaccinated/>
18. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. SAMHSA's Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach SAMHSA's Trauma and Justice Strategic Initiative. 2014. <http://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Concept-of-Trauma-and-Guidance-for-a-Trauma-Informed-Approach/SMA14-4884>
19. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. A guide for community partners: Increasing COVID-19 vaccine uptake among members of racial and ethnic minority communities.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. 2021.

<https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/downloads/guide-community-partners.pdf>

20. Valbuena VSM, Obayemi JE, Purnell TS, et al. Gender and racial disparities in the transplant surgery workforce. *Curr Opin Organ Transplant* 2021;26(5):560-566. DOI: 10.1097/MOT.0000000000000915.

Figure 1: Checklist for a patient-centered trauma informed approach to transplant vaccine mandates.

Based on information in: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. SAMHSA's

Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 14-4884.

2014. Accessed February 11, 2022. <http://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Concept-of-Trauma-and-Guidance-for-a-Trauma-Informed-Approach/SMA14-4884>

PATIENT-CENTERED TRAUMA INFORMED (PTCI) APPROACH TO COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATES IN TRANSPLANTATION

Key aspects of PTCI may include:

Journal Pre-proof

PATIENT COMFORT

Asking every patient what can be done to make them more comfortable during the appointment, allowing for time, follow-up conversations, and supports.



ACCESSIBLE RESOURCES

Presenting easy to understand, brief summaries of vaccine development, risks and benefits, encouraging the patient to ask questions and to discuss reservations. Options to meet with patient peers should be encouraged.

COLLABORATION NOT JUDGEMENT

Engaging patients in a collaborative, non-judgmental fashion when discussing health behavior change.



INTERPROFESSIONAL PARTNERSHIP

Partnering with social work, occupational therapy, and others to support patients and develop a plan with clear steps towards vaccination.



CLEAR COMMUNICATION

Provide all staff with communication skills training about how to discuss a vaccination from a trauma-informed perspective.

